arXiv:1408.5813v1 [math.OA] 25 Aug 2014

The Unique Path Lifting for Noncommutative Covering Projections

August 26, 2014

Petr R. Ivankov*
e-mail: * monster.ivankov@gmail.com

Abstract

This article contains a noncommutative generalization of the topological path lifting problem. Noncommutative geometry has no paths and even points. However there are paths of *-automorphisms. It is proven that paths of *-automorphisms comply with unique path lifting.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Noncommutative covering projections **4**
2.1 Hermitian modules and functors 4
2.2 Galois rigged modules 6

3 The noncommutative path lifting **9**

4 Application **10**

1 Introduction

1.1. There is a significant problem in the algebraic topology, called the lifting problem. Let $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ continuous maps of topological spaces. The *lifting problem* [11] for f is to determine whether there is a continuous map $f' : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that $f = p \circ f'$- that is, whether the dotted arrow in the diagram



corresponds to a continuous map making the diagram commutative. If there is such map f', then f can be *lifted* to $\mathcal{E}$, and we call f' a *lifting* or *lift* of f. If p is a covering projection and $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ then f can be lifted.

Definition 1.2. [11] A continous map $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ is said to have the *unique path lifting* if, given paths ω and ω' in E such that $p \circ \omega = p \circ \omega'$ and $\omega(0) = \omega'(0)$, then $\omega = \omega'$.

Theorem 1.3. *[11] Let $p : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a covering projection and let $f, g : \mathcal{Y} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ be liftings of the same map (that is, $p \circ f = p \circ g$). If $\mathcal{Y}$ is connected and f agrees with g for some point of $\mathcal{Y}$ then $f = g$.*

Remark 1.4. From theorem 1.3 it follows that a covering projection has unique path lifting.

We would like generalize above facts. Because noncommutative geometry has no points it has no a direct generalization of paths, but there is an implicit generalization. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a covering projection. The following diagram reflects the path lifting problem.



However above diagram can be replaced with an equivalent diagram



where Homeo means the group of homeomorphisms with *compact-open* topology (See [11]). Noncommutative generalization of a locally compact space is a C^*-algebra [1]. Similarly the generalization of Homeo$(\mathcal{X})$ is the group Aut(A) of *-automorphisms carries (at

least) two different topologies making it into a topological group [12]. The most important is *the topology of pointwise norm-convergence* based on the open sets

$$\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(A) \mid \|\alpha(a) - a\| < 1\}\,,\ a \in A.$$

The other topology is the *uniform norm-topology* based on the open sets

$$\left\{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(A) \mid \sup_{a\neq 0}\ \|a\|^{-1}\|\alpha(a) - a\| < \varepsilon\right\},\ \varepsilon > 0$$

which corresponds to following "norm"

$$\|\alpha\| = \sup_{a\neq 0}\ \|a\|^{-1}\|\alpha(a) - a\|. \tag{1}$$

Above formula does not really means a norm because $\mathrm{Aut}\,(A)$ is not a vector space. Henceforth the uniform norm-topology will be considered only. Following diagram presents the noncommutative generalization of path lifting.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{Aut}\left(\widetilde{A}\right) \\ & \overset{f'}{\nearrow} & \Big\downarrow p \\ I = [0,1] & \xrightarrow[f]{} & \mathrm{Aut}\,(A) \end{array}$$

A generalization of covering projections is described in my articles [4, 5]. Following table contains necessary ingredients and their noncommutative analogues.

General topology	Noncommutative geometry
Locally compact Hausdorff space [2]	C^*-algebra [1]
A group of homeomorphism $\mathrm{Homeo}(X)$ [11]	A group of *-automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}\,(A)$ [12]
The topology on $\mathrm{Homeo}\,(\mathcal{X})$ [11]	The topology on $\mathrm{Aut}\,(A)$ [12]
Covering projection [11]	Noncommutative covering projection [4, 5]

Composition of these ingredients supplies a noncommutative generalization of the following topological theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a covering projection. Any path $\omega : I \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\omega(0) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be uniquely lifted to the path $\widetilde{\omega} : I \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}})$ such that $\widetilde{\omega}(0) = \mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}}$, i.e. $p(\widetilde{\omega}(t)(x)) = \omega(t)(p(x)), \forall t \in [0,1],\ \forall x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. Follows from theorem 1.3. □

Following notation is used in this article.

Symbol	Meaning
$\mathrm{Aut}(A)$	Group * - automorphisms of C^*-algebra A
$\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}$)	Field of complex (resp. real) numbers
$C(\mathcal{X})$	C^* - algebra of continuous complex valued functions on compact topological space $\mathcal{X}$
$C_0(\mathcal{X})$	C^* - algebra of continuous complex valued functions on locally compact topological space which tends to 0 at infinity $\mathcal{X}$
$M(A)$	Multiplier algebra of C^*-algebra A
$\mathbb{N}$	The set of natural numbers

2 Noncommutative covering projections

In this section we recall some notions from the article [5] devoted to noncommutative covering projections.

2.1 Hermitian modules and functors

Any continuous map from a compact space $\mathcal{X}$ to a compact space $\mathcal{Y}$ corresponds to the *-homomorphism $C(\mathcal{Y}) \to C(\mathcal{X})$. It is not always true when $\mathcal{X}$ is not compact, a continuous map is rather a correspondence [8] between $C_0(\mathcal{Y})$ and $C_0(\mathcal{X})$. In this section we consider an algebraic generalization of continuous maps. Following text is in fact a citation of [10].

Definition 2.1. [10] Let B be a C^*-algebra. By a (left) *Hermitian B-module* we will mean the Hilbert space H of a non-degenerate *-representation $A \to B(H)$. Denote by $\mathbf{Herm}(B)$ the category of Hermitian B-modules.

2.2. [10] Let A, B be C^*-algebras. In this section we will study some general methods for construction of functors from $\mathbf{Herm}(B)$ to $\mathbf{Herm}(A)$.

Definition 2.3. [10] Let B be a C^*-algebra. By (right) *pre-B-rigged space* we mean a vector space, X, over complex numbers on which B acts by means of linear transformations in such a way that X is a right B-module (in algebraic sense), and on which there is defined a B-valued sesquilinear form $\langle , \rangle_X$ conjugate linear in the first variable, such that

1. $\langle x, x\rangle_B \geq 0$
2. $(\langle x, y\rangle_X)^* = \langle y, x\rangle_X$
3. $\langle x, yb\rangle_B = \langle x, y\rangle_X b$

2.4. It is easily seen that if we factor a pre-B-rigged space by subspace of the elements x for which $\langle x, x\rangle_B = 0$, the quotient becomes in a natural way a pre-B-rigged space having the additional property that inner product is definite, i.e. $\langle x, x\rangle_X > 0$ for any non-zero

$x \in X$. On a pre-B-rigged space with definite inner product we can define a norm $\|\|$ by setting

$$\|x\| = \|\langle x, x\rangle_X\|^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

From now on we will always view a pre-B-rigged space with definite inner product as being equipped with this norm. The completion of X with this norm is easily seen to become again a pre-B-rigged space.

Definition 2.5. [10] Let B be a C^*-algebra. By a *B-rigged space* or *Hilbert B-module* we will mean a pre-B-rigged space, X, satisfying the following conditions:

1. If $\langle x, x\rangle_X = 0$ then $x = 0$, for all $x \in X$,
2. X is complete for the norm defined in (2).

Remark 2.6. In many publications the "Hilbert B-module" term is used instead "rigged B-module".

2.7. Viewing a B-rigged space as a generalization of an ordinary Hilbert space, we can define what we mean by bounded operators on a B-rigged space.

Definition 2.8. [10] Let X be a B-rigged space. By a *bounded operator* on X we mean a linear operator, T, from X to itself which satisfies following conditions:

1. for some constant k_T we have

$$\langle Tx, Tx\rangle_X \leq k_T\langle x, x\rangle_X, \ \forall x \in X,$$

   or, equivalently T is continuous with respect to the norm of X.

2. there is a continuous linear operator, T^*, on X such that

$$\langle Tx, y\rangle_X = \langle x, T^*y\rangle_X, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

It is easily seen that any bounded operator on a B-rigged space will automatically commute with the action of B on X (because it has an adjoint). We will denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ (or $\mathcal{L}_B(X)$ there is a chance of confusion) the set of all bounded operators on X. Then it is easily verified than with the operator norm $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a C^*-algebra.

Definition 2.9. [9] If X is a B-rigged module then denote by $\theta_{\xi,\zeta} \in \mathcal{L}_B(X)$ such that

$$\theta_{\xi,\zeta}(\eta) = \zeta\langle\xi, \eta\rangle_X, \ (\xi, \eta, \zeta \in X)$$

Norm closure of a generated by such endomorphisms ideal is said to be the *algebra of compact operators* which we denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$. The $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}_B(X)$. Also we shall use following notation $\xi\rangle\langle\zeta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \theta_{\xi,\zeta}$.

Definition 2.10. [10] Let A and B be C^*-algebras. By a *Hermitian B-rigged A-module* we mean a B-rigged space, which is a left A-module by means of *-homomorphism of A into $\mathcal{L}_B(X)$.

Remark 2.11. Hermitian B-rigged A-modules are also named as *B-A-correspondences* (See, for example [8]).

2.12. Let X be a Hermitian B-rigged A-module. If $V \in \mathbf{Herm}(B)$ then we can form the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes_{B_{\text{alg}}} V$, and equip it with an ordinary pre-inner-product which is defined on elementary tensors by

$$\langle x \otimes v, x' \otimes v' \rangle = \langle \langle x', x \rangle_B v, v' \rangle_V.$$

Completing the quotient $X \otimes_{B_{\text{alg}}} V$ by subspace of vectors of length zero, we obtain an ordinary Hilbert space, on which A acts (by $a(x \otimes v) = ax \otimes v$) to give a *-representation of A. We will denote the corresponding Hermitian module by $X \otimes_B V$. The above construction defines a functor $X \otimes_B - : \mathbf{Herm}(B) \to \mathbf{Herm}(A)$ if for $V, W \in \mathbf{Herm}(B)$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(V, W)$ we define $f \otimes X \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V \otimes X, W \otimes X)$ on elementary tensors by $(f \otimes X)(x \otimes v) = x \otimes f(v)$. We can define action of B on $V \otimes X$ which is defined on elementary tensors by

$$b(x \otimes v) = (x \otimes bv) = xb \otimes v.$$

2.2 Galois rigged modules

Definition 2.13. [5] Let A be a C^*-algebra, G is a finite or countable group which acts on A. We say that $H \in \mathbf{Herm}(A)$ is a *A-G Hermitian module* if

1. Group G acts on H by unitary A-linear isomorphisms,
2. There is a subspace $H^G \subset H$ such that

$$H = \bigoplus_{g \in G} gH^G. \tag{3}$$

Let H, K be A-G Hermitian modules, a morphism $\phi : H \to K$ is said to be a A-G-morphism if $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$ for any $g \in G$. Denote by $\mathbf{Herm}(A)^G$ a category of A-G Hermitian modules and A-G-morphisms.

Definition 2.14. [5] Let H be A-G Hermitian module, $B \subset M(A)$ is sub-C^*-algebra such that $(ga)b = g(ab)$, $b(ga) = g(ba)$, for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $g \in G$. There is a functor $(-)^G : \mathbf{Herm}(A)^G \to \mathbf{Herm}(B)$ defined by following way

$$H \mapsto H^G. \tag{4}$$

This functor is said to be the *invariant functor*.

Definition 2.15. [5] Let ${}_AX_B$ be a Hermitian B-rigged A-module, G is finite or countable group such that

- G acts on A and X,

- Action of G is equivariant, i.e $g(a\xi) = (ga)(g\xi)$, and B invariant, i.e $g(\xi b) = (g\xi)b$ for any $\xi \in X$, $b \in B$, $a \in A$, $g \in G$,
- Inner-product of G is equivariant, i.e $\langle g\xi, g\zeta\rangle_X = \langle \xi, \zeta\rangle_X$ for any $\xi, \zeta \in X$, $g \in G$.

Then we say that ${}_AX_B$ is a *G-equivariant B-rigged A-module*.

2.16. Let ${}_AX_B$ be a G-equivariant B-rigged A-module. Then for any $H \in \mathbf{Herm}(B)$ there is an action of G on $X \otimes_B H$ such that

$$g\left(x \otimes \xi\right) = \left(x \otimes g\xi\right). \tag{5}$$

Definition 2.17. [5] Let ${}_AX_B$ be a G-equivariant B-rigged A-module. We say that ${}_AX_B$ is *G-Galois B-rigged A-module* if it satisfies following conditions:

1. $X \otimes_B H$ is a A-G Hermitian module, for any $H \in \mathbf{Herm}(B)$,
2. A pair $\left(X \otimes_B -, (-)^G\right)$ such that

$$X \otimes_B - : \mathbf{Herm}(B) \to \mathbf{Herm}(A)^G,$$
$$(-)^G : \mathbf{Herm}(A)^G \to \mathbf{Herm}(B).$$

   is a pair of inverse equivalence.

Theorem 2.18. *[5] Let A and $\widetilde{A}$ be C^*-algebras, ${}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A$ be a G-equivariant A-rigged $\widetilde{A}$-module. Let I be a finite or countable set of indices, $\{e_i\}_{i\in I} \subset A$, $\{\xi_i\}_{i\in I} \subset {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A$ such that*

1.
$$1_{M(A)} = \sum_{i\in I} e_i^* e_i, \tag{6}$$

2.
$$1_{M(\mathcal{K}(X))} = \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{i\in I} g\xi_i\rangle\langle g\xi_i, \tag{7}$$

3.
$$\langle \xi_i, \xi_i\rangle_X = e_i^* e_i, \tag{8}$$

4.
$$\langle g\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle_X = 0, \text{ for any nontrivial } g \in G. \tag{9}$$

Then ${}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A$ is a G-Galois A-rigged $\widetilde{A}$-module.

Definition 2.19. [5] Consider a situation from the theorem 2.18. Norm completion of the generated by operators

$$g\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g\xi_i a;\ g \in G,\ i \in I,\ a \in M(A)$$

algebra is said to be the *subordinated to $\{\xi_i\}_{i\in I}$ algebra*. If $\widetilde{A}$ is the subordinated to $\{\xi_i\}_{i\in I}$ then

1. G acts on $\widetilde{A}$ by following way
$$g\left(\ g'\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g'\xi_i a\right) = gg'\xi_i^*\rangle\langle gg'\xi_i a;\ a, a \in M(A).$$
2. X is a left A module, moreover ${}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A$ is a G-Galois A-rigged $\widetilde{A}$-module.
3. There is a natural G-equivariant *-homomorphism $\varphi : A \to M\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$, φ is equivariant, i.e.
$$\varphi(a)(g\widetilde{a}) = g\varphi(a)(\widetilde{a});\ a \in A,\ \widetilde{a} \in \widetilde{A}. \tag{10}$$

A quadruple $\left(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G\right)$ is said to be a *Galois quadruple*.

Remark 2.20. It is shown [5] that, if A is a commutative C^*-algebra then the Galois quadruple $\left(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G\right)$ corresponds to a topological covering projection. So a Galois quadruple is a generalization of a topological covering projection.

Remark 2.21. Henceforth subordinated algebras only are regarded as noncommutative generalizations of covering projections.

Lemma 2.22. *Let $\left(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G\right)$ be a Galois quadruple, and let $g \in G$ be a nontrivial element. Then $\|g\| \geq 1$ where $\|g\|$ is given by* (1).

Proof. Let $x = \ g'\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g'\xi_i a$, $(a \in M(A))$ then from (9) it follows that
$$x(gx^*) = x^*(gx) = (gx)x^* = (gx^*)x = 0.$$
From
$$\|x - gx\|^2 = \|(x - gx)^*(x - gx)\| = \|xx^* + (gx)(gx^*)\| \geq \|x\|^2.$$
it follows that $\|g\| \geq 1$. □

Lemma 2.23. *Let $\left(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G\right)$ be a Galois quadruple, and let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ be such that α is a right A-module isomorphism, i.e.*
$$\alpha(\widetilde{a})a = \alpha(\widetilde{a}a);\ \forall \widetilde{a} \in \widetilde{A},\ \forall a \in A.$$
Then $\alpha \in G$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{I}_i = e_i^* e_i M(A)$, $(i \in I)$ a right principal ideal in A. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_i = \mathfrak{I}_i M(A) = e_i^* e_i \widetilde{A}$ is a right A-module which is a Hilbert direct sum
$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_i = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig} \tag{11}$$
where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig} = g\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g\xi_i M(A)$. From conditions of theorem 2.18 if follows that there is a natural right A-module isomorphism $\mathfrak{I}_i \approx \widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig}$ for any $(g \in G)$. The A- module isomorphism α transposes summands of (11). Since G transitively acts on itself there is $g \in G$ such that g corresponds the transposition of direct sum members. Then action of α is uniquely defined as
$$\alpha(g'\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g'\xi_i a) = gg'\xi_i^*\rangle\langle gg'\xi_i;\ a \in M(A). \tag{12}$$
From (12) it follows that $\alpha = g$. □

3 The noncommutative path lifting

Definition 3.1. Let $(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G)$ be a Galois quadruple, and $\omega : I \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a continuous map with respect to the uniform norm-topology. A continuous with respect to uniform norm-topology map $\widetilde{\omega} : I \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{A})$ such that

$$\widetilde{\omega}(t)(\widetilde{a}a) = \widetilde{\omega}(t)(\widetilde{a})\omega(t)(a);\ \forall\ t \in I,\ a \in A,\ \widetilde{a} \in \widetilde{A}$$

is said to be a *lift* or *lifting* of ω.

Following lemma states uniqueness of a path lift.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G)$ be a Galois quadruple, and $\omega : I \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a continuous map with respect to uniform norm-topology, and let $\widetilde{\omega}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2$ be lifts of ω such that $\widetilde{\omega}_1(0) = \widetilde{\omega}_2(0)$. Then $\widetilde{\omega}_1 = \widetilde{\omega}_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $U = \{x \in I \mid \widetilde{\omega}_1(t) = \widetilde{\omega}_2(t), 0 \leq t \leq x\}$. Then $U = [0, T]$. If $T < 1$ then $\widetilde{\omega}_1 \neq \widetilde{\omega}_2$. Since $\widetilde{\omega}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2$ are continuous maps, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\| (\widetilde{\omega}_i(T))^{-1}\, \widetilde{\omega}_i(T+t)\| < 1/4;\ 0 \leq t < \varepsilon,\ i = 1, 2. \tag{13}$$

Let $t < \varepsilon$ be such that $\widetilde{\omega}_1(T+t) \neq \widetilde{\omega}_2(T+t)$. From lemma 2.23 it follows that there is nontrivial element $g \in G$ such that $\widetilde{\omega}_1(T+t) = g\widetilde{\omega}_2(T+t)$. From lemma 2.22 it follows that

$$\|g\| = \|(\widetilde{\omega}_1(T+t))^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}_2(T+t)\| \geq 1. \tag{14}$$

From $\widetilde{\omega}_1(T) = \widetilde{\omega}_2(T)$ it follows a contradiction between (13) and (14). This contradiction proves this lemma. □

Now we shall prove the existence of path lifting. Let $(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G)$ be a Galois quadruple. We suppose that right ideals and right A-modules given by

$$\mathfrak{I}_i = e_i^* e_i M(A) \subset A, \tag{15}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig} = g\xi_i^* \rangle\langle g\xi_i M(A) \subset \widetilde{A}. \tag{16}$$

are irreducible [3]. If not we can decompose modules to direct sums of irreducible ones. Following lemma states the existence of path lift.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(A, \widetilde{A}, {}_{\widetilde{A}}X_A, G)$ be a Galois quadruple, and $\omega : I \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a continuous map with respect to uniform norm-topology. There exist a path lift $\widetilde{\omega} : I \to \mathrm{Aut}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ of ω.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that, if $t < \varepsilon$ then $\|\omega(0)^{-1}\omega(t)\| < 1/4$. Let $i \in I$ be an index defined in the theorem 2.18. Denote by $e_i(t) = \omega(t)(e_i)$. If $t < \varepsilon$ then $\|e_i - e_i(t)\| < 1/4\|e_i\|$ for any $i \in I$. Let $\mathfrak{I}_i$, (resp. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig}$) be given by (15) (resp. (16)) From (11) it follows that

$$e_i^* e_i \widetilde{A} = \mathfrak{I}_i \widetilde{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ig} \tag{17}$$

where $\bigoplus$ means the Hilbert direct sum. The ideal

$$\mathfrak{I}_i(t) = e_i^*(t)e_i(t)M(A)$$

is irreducible for any t, because $\omega(t)$ is a *-isomorphism. So

$$\mathfrak{I}_i(t)\widetilde{A} = e_i^*(t)e_i(t)\widetilde{A} = \bigoplus_{j\in J} \widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ij}(t). \tag{18}$$

where $|J| = |G|$ and all right A-modules $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ij}(t)$ are irreducible and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ij}(t) \approx \mathfrak{I}_i(t)$, $\forall j \in J$. For any $j \in J$ there is the unique $\xi_{ij}(t) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ij}(t)$ such that $\xi_{ij}(t)$ corresponds to $e_i(t)$ by isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}_{ij}(t) \approx \mathfrak{I}_i(t)$. Let $J_i(t) = \{\xi_{ij}(t)\}_{j\in J}$. For any $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ denote by $\xi_i(t) \in J_i(t)$ the unique element such that

$$\|\xi_i - \xi_i(t)\| < 1/4\|\xi_i\|.$$

Lemma 2.22 guaranties uniqueness of $\xi_i(t)$ and a map $t \mapsto \xi_i(t)$ is continuous in the norm topology. Define $\widetilde{\omega} : [0, \varepsilon] \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{A})$ such that

$$\widetilde{\omega}(t)(g\xi_i^*\rangle\langle g\xi_i a) = g\xi_i^*(t)\rangle\langle g\xi_i(t)\omega(t)(a);\ \forall\ i \in I,\ g \in G,\ a \in M(A).$$

Similarly there is $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_1$ then $\|\omega(\varepsilon)^{-1}\omega(\varepsilon + t)\| < 1/4$ and a domain of $\widetilde{\omega}$ can be extended to the interval $[0, \varepsilon + \varepsilon_1]$. Thus the path $\widetilde{\omega}$ can be extended to the whole interval $[0, 1]$ because $[0, 1]$ is a compact set. □

4 Application

The unique path lifting property is used in my article [6] devoted to the noncommutative generalization of Wilson lines.

References

[1] W. Arveson. *An Invitation to C*-Algebras*, Springer-Verlag. ISBN 0-387-90176-0, 1981.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Chapters 1-4, Springer, Sep 18, 1998.

[3] John Dauns. *Modules and Rings*. Cambridge University Press, Oct 28, 1994.

[4] Petr Ivankov. *Finite covering projections of noncommutative torus*. arXiv:1402.0775, 2014.

[5] Petr Ivankov. *Infinite Noncommutative Covering Projections*. arXiv:1405.1859, 2014.

[6] Petr Ivankov. *Noncommutative Generalization of Wilson Lines*. arXiv:1408.4101, 2014.

[7] *Lecture notes on noncommutative geometry and quantum groups*, Edited by Piotr M. Hajac.

[8] Evgenios T.A. Kakariadis, Elias G. Katsoulis, *Operator algebras and C*-correspondences: A survey.* arXiv:1210.6067, 2012.

[9] Pedersen G.K. *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups*. London ; New York : Academic Press, 1979.

[10] Marc A. Reiffel, *Morita equivalence for C*-algebras and W*-algebras* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 5 (1974), 51-96, 1974.

[11] E.H. Spanier. *Algebraic Topology.* McGraw-Hill. New York 1966.

[12] Klaus Thomsen. *The homotopy type of the group of automorphisms of a UHF-algebra*. Journal of Functional Analysis. Volume 72, Issue 1, May 1987.